

May 7, 1981

LB 506

CLEK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend the bill by inserting a new Section 3. Would you like me to read, Senator? (Read Warner amendment found on page 1834 of Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I move adoption of the amendment. The amendments that are proposed as Senator Cullan has already indicated in response to the Attorney General's comment that there needed to be some guidelines, rules and regulations, which the Department of Health, Director of Health, would be required to use in the awarding of either grants or contracts and this is the proposed language to meet that necessary requirement. So I move their adoption.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is the adoption of the Warner amendment as explained by Senator Warner. All those in favor of that motion vote aye, opposed vote no. Record.

CLEK: 28 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of the Warner amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The second Warner amendment is adopted.

CLEK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Cullan, do you wish to advance the bill?

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, I move the bill be advanced.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Excuse me, Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I realize I am crying in the wilderness and the thing is going to go but I want to be on the record, I am not at all sure that this is a good bill and I just want to outline some of the reasons why I have reservations. Number one, I have not heard, and perhaps I have not been listening, but I have not heard the detailed explanation as to why this money is needed in the State of Nebraska for this research. I do have a report here that was submitted to the Public Health Committee during the hearing which indicated there is in excess of one billion dollars spent in this area by the federal government. So I don't know, offhand, how much money is needed for cancer research. Now to talk against cancer research in this day and age is like talking against motherhood but I don't think it has been documented that this state should enter into a new program which has not been, in my opinion, sufficiently