thanked for the work he has done in making sure that it is a bill that I think is fiscally prudent. It does a number of things that are fiscally sound that I think you should know about. Number one, we have a maximum of \$300 per family per child and that is maximum, so we are not going to pay more than \$300 per month to help a child stay in the home, or an individual stay in their apartment who if they are handicapped and have a job. So there is a maximum on the individual that they can receive. Then we have a maximum of \$500,000 for the total program that they can receive. Then we also have a five year sunset which will mean that the program will end after five years if we can't justify its continuation. And I think it will more than justify itself, but if there is a problem, it will certainly end on its own without any problem whatsoever. And then, number four, we have said in the bill, if you will read it, that they have to go through a whole list of alternatives to this program before they adopt it. And when they look at this, they look at the cost benefit ratio. They say, well, now, now you can't get this help, you can't get that help, but you need this assistance, it's clear and I think we can help you with it because if we don't help you with this, you will probably have to go to an institutional setting or some other situation which will cost the state much more. So I think for those of you who call yourself a fiscal conservative, and I think that's the majority of the body, this is probably one of the most fiscally conservative bills you could have. It will truly provide help to people but at the same time it's really an attempt to try and keep our fiscal costs in line and I think provide a program that is very cost efficient. So, I know there has been some questions about that but I definitely think this bill has addressed those questions and is one that should be passed this session.

SPEAKER MARVEL: A point of order, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Point of order, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: State your point.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I owe Senator Wesely an apology. I did not receive a letter from Minnesota for where the cost did not go down. I received no letter from Minnesota pertaining to cost. We had a phone call with them pertaining to the program. What I was referring to and I was confused was a newspaper story about group homes for retarded said too costly to keep. So I apologize to you, Senator Wesely. I am very sorry that I made the statement. It is not true. I did not receive the letter about the cost not going down. Thank you, Mr. President.