

May 6, 1981

LB 3

one question and then I will decide. Senator Carsten, I understand the Revenue Committee will be studying over the interim tax exemptions that we have already passed and we will look at the whole area of tax exemption in the state. Is that not correct?

SENATOR CARSTEN: That is correct, Senator Wesely, yes.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, with that information, I think that my concern was that enacting another tax exemption without some sort of sense that it would not be probably wise, but with the committee looking into this, I think that is a commitment I can live with and I will withdraw the amendment at this time.

CLERK: Mr. President, I then have a Landis-Carsten amendment which would read as follows: (Read the Landis-Carsten amendment as found on pages 16 and 1807 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, this is, I believe, a reasonably and fairly negotiated settlement to an intricate problem. It is also very imperative that we read into the record from the co-introducer of the amendment, Senator Carsten, language describing what we mean by the term "processing", because this was in discussions one of the questions that we got hung up on. Now previously Senator Carsten read into the record when he was talking about the bill itself and the committee amendments, language from the Revenue Department giving the legislative intent with respect to the word "processing", but we agreed to do this a second time to make clear to those people who would wind up interpreting this measure what we mean by the word "processing". I will yield the balance of my time to the co-introducer, Senator Carsten, for that purpose and for also whatever other remarks he would like to make to enlighten us with respect to this amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, as Senator Landis has said, it is important that we read into the record as we did once what we really mean and intend with this piece of legislation. And with your tolerance for a minute or two, I would like to reread that. The phrase "new manufacturing and processing equipment" is intended to include those types of machines commonly found in manufacturing and processing