

May 6, 1981

LB 146

related. The amendment also talks about losses of sub-irrigation. This is another problem that we have not addressed that Senator Vickers is addressing himself to headon. These are important amendments. They do something about water. So I hope you will give them serious consideration. I think that this amendment is a test of the genuine interest in doing something about water. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. Chairman and members, am I correct in thinking is this the amendment on page 1466 of the Journal? Is that what we are talking about?

SENATOR CLARK: Mr. Clerk, is this the amendment on 1466?

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Vickers, is this the very same amendment? The one that was defeated previously. Well, I guess I have a point of order, Mr. Chairman, in that this should be reconsideration.

SENATOR CLARK: Wait a minute. They don't have a reference to the amendment. What is your point of order.

SENATOR LAMB: My point is that Senator Vickers tells me this is the same amendment as on page 1466, and if I am correct that the amendment was unsuccessful previously, so this would have to be reconsideration and Senator Vickers was not on the prevailing side. Is that correct, Mr. Chairman?

SENATOR CLERK: It is the same amendment. But this is on a different stage of the bill, and being it is on a different stage of the bill, it is not a reconsideration. That is the way I will rule it. Senator Haberman, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HABERMAN: A point of order, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: What is your point?

SENATOR HABERMAN: I ask for a division of the question.

SENATOR CLARK: How do you want it divided?

SENATOR HABERMAN: The first part says, "Interference with present or reasonably foreseeable uses of surface water in the district;". I would like to have that one. And the second one, "Reduction or loss of subirrigation within the district;". They are two different complete subjects and