

industry located in the northeast corner of the country, then it went down across the Sun Belt, and then it is now coming up into the upper part of the United States, but when it gets up here, whether it locates in Kansas, Nebraska, Missouri or Iowa, that is where the tax incentive does make a difference, and if you don't believe that, just take a look at the industries locating all across Kansas, little towns like Oleta, Kansas, Manhattan, Kansas. Those small towns in Kansas are getting these plants because Kansas does have these incentives. If we don't offer them, we don't get that plant. We don't even have a shot at it. We get cut off at the first or second look at our state. So, consequently, we are not in the final consideration of it. I would strongly urge the rejection of the Fowler amendment to this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: I would like to give the Legislature just a little warning. You could probably work on this bill all afternoon, and you can see what is on Select File. You are going to hold all those bills up. You are going to hold up the rest of the priority bills if you work on this all afternoon. You can do what you like but we have about twelve lights on. We have got one more amendment to the amendment and four other amendments to this bill. So you can judge yourselves accordingly. Senator Newell is the next speaker.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I support the Fowler amendment, although the Fowler amendment...I would not support the bill with the Fowler amendment on it because, frankly, that is still not restrictive enough. I just want to point out something that I think this body must realize before we get too far along in this "compromise amendment". Senator DeCamp and Senator Goodrich propose that they are compromising this whole issue and they present this compromise as something preferable to what the body deep down last week, and I want to say simply that this proposal that Senator Goodrich offers has got more loopholes, more gaping loopholes from which you can exempt almost everything and all equipment. You will get back to the full \$6 million exemption, to the state revenue loss, and it is a greater revenue loss than the Goodrich-Landis sleight of hand which was two weeks ago, which I didn't support particularly at that time either. Now I think that the committee amendments are absolutely adequate. In fact, I remember telling my good friend, Martin Kahle, who has been very consistent on this. I said, Martin, we ought not send this bill out of committee, Martin, because it ain't going to work like we