quite tell from the language in the amendment whether a new building must be built in order to qualify for this exemption, or whether, in fact, it can be an installation in an existing building, and if it's an installation in an existing building, whether or not that will get the exemption. The phrase "installation to increase productivity" concerns me. I would not know of a business man in the State of Nebraska that would make an installation that would decrease productivity. I assume that any new equipment would be...unless a business man is a fool, any new equipment is an increase in productivity. So I question whether all new purchases of equipment, in fact, are exempt from the sales tax under this. I think that there a lot of gaps in the language, a lot of things that need to be explained, and I offer the amendment because I think the words "processing equipment" and "processing operation" is one of those things that is perhaps so broad that unless this amendment is not tightened up, unless language isn't added to define what we mean, we may be exempting computers, we may be exempting typewriters, we may be exempting a whole variety of word processing, data processing, information processing, and who knows what type of processing equipment from the sales tax. So I think that maybe Senator Goodrich and DeCamp need to either establish a clear legislative record as to their intent, or some of this language should be taken out, and I think the questions that we need answered is, what is processing? Can this exemption apply to any sort of thing that is done in an existing building, or must there be a new structure built, and is the replacement of equipment, in fact, the same as installation to increase productivity? And until those questions are answered, I think certainly we should eliminate some of the language in this amendment, perhaps reject it altogether.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Hello. Mr. President and members of the body, I naturally would oppose the amendment. The processing, for example, can mean, for example, meat processing or grain processing and that type of thing. And, for example, this is equipment used in a manufacturing or a processing equipment...operation rather, inside that operation. It's not a wild, open amendment like has been portrayed. Like, for example, a typewriter, that's not equipment in the manufacturing equipment. It is not a manufacturing, processing, or either one. That is office type of supplies that certainly would not be intended. Nor is it anywhere in the amendment. As far