

May 6, 1981

LB 557

fail. By the same token I wish you honestly would listen and look at the information. The mechanics of my plan work something like this. You have handed out to you two sheets. Now, pick up your sheet number one if you would and go through it with me and it talks about daddy's budget and mommy's budget and I make is simple so I can understand it. I'm sure that you people understand the budget process better than I do so, if you would go with me on this it makes it at least so I can explain it. Every year come about this time of the session the Appropriations Committee, I call them daddy here, brings out their budget. It has all of the various items that they deem to be important. It almost invariably spends all the money, uses up the money. Now we get over to mama's budget, daddy turns over to mama and says well here is the budget and we have only got \$100 and we are going to live within it. Mama says, yes, but, you forgot the kids school clothes, you forgot a spending allowance, you forgot a church donation, you forgot the baby clothes. She says these are really priorities that I at my level know about and they are just as important. Daddy says, well sorry I've used the \$100 it is gone. You will have to do something about that. There are two solutions, I think, and we have always taken solution number one. That is supposedly all of mama's priorities are outside the budget. No matter how fundamental they are they are the things that "cause the tax increase", they are the things that generate the vetoes, they are the things that are outside of everything. So, as it is here we have 26 million, it is going to go over to the Governor's office, state aid is going to be vetoed, ADC is going to be vetoed, we will have the fight in here and if we override the vetoes as is the regular annual ceremony, we get egg on our face for spenders, tax increasers, ADC we are overriding vetoes for things like that. Women that have babies and don't want to work, so on and so forth. It is an annual event and I think that maybe it is time to look at another approach. The other approach is this, we say look, these are priorities of members of the body, part of the family, lets take the entire budget and cut it by just enough to make everything fit but recognize those priorities. So by cutting the budget 2½% across the board and eliminating ten million of the 20 million increase in state aid, you will actually be under the total dollars that are available and that we talked about and that the Appropriations Committee had talked about. I think that it makes it veto proof. You don't have to apologize to anybody. There is nothing left to veto. We are under the numbers, which is what

4598