

May 4, 1981

LB 561

naturally I cannot be made to see that those things that are important to me are more important than the children that mean so much to me. I don't think we are even talking about trading, bar rolling or anything else. We are talking about trying to say that certain moral issues have more weight and significance than others and that is a difficult scale to develop because you can not weigh these things like you weigh physical or material quantities, but we certainly can weigh how much impact it has on our minds and our feelings and I feel very deeply about the children. I would do almost anything to help a child and if I had to choose between some of the propositions that are based upon land and things not human, if I had to make a choice between supporting those and supporting children, the children get my vote every time. So we should all keep in mind that this is just one stage of the struggle or one round in the fight. Senator Peterson, a fight can be determined in the fifteenth round so if you get thirty-five votes to add an amendment and then forty-five votes to advance the bill and the Governor takes a pen and line items out the thing that you want, then you are going to be talking a different story to some of the people that you speak with such contempt toward now. I think it is unconscionable to tell somebody who has no land go grow something in a garden. It is like saying, "Chambers, if you had bacon you could have bacon and eggs if you had some eggs." So, I have got nothing and you want to fill my belly with air and words. It is difficult to walk the path, Senator Peterson, that I am often asked to walk and sometimes people who have nothing in the midst of plenty find it almost laughable but it would be a hollow laughter from the teeth out to hear others talking about what a fine standard of living there is and how rich the country is. It begins to make people wonder if it is true what the rich say that they are rich because they are so righteous and the poor are poor because they are immoral and you analyze your own circumstances and you know that is not true at all. So if those who have can be traced back through history and found to have been able to obtain land because it was free if you drove a stake or cut down a tree and other people's ancestors at that time were owned as property and could not own property, there must come a point where justice is served but in this particular instance we are not even talking about a racial problem. We are talking about children where the greatest majority of them are of the complexion of those who make up the majority in this Legislature. So, what is being told to the children? What is being told to the poor? That we can say fine things, but we won't give a pittance to bring into reality the things that we say mean so much to us. I thought that people who owned a lot of things were stewards, that they had a responsibility and an obligation to properly administer that which had been entrusted to them, but I guess I thought wrong on that like I have been

0441