

May 4, 1981

LB 561

lature, Senator Chambers, the good Lord also said, "The Lord helps those who help themselves". Sometimes I think we fail to train our children to help themselves. One of the things I learned early in life was one way to participate with my children was to participate in the garden and I have a feeling that if all of the people who are on ADC would have a garden we would cover far more than the dollars you are talking about. Let me say, secondly, that I am particularly opposed to reconsideration of this particular matter because fundamentally I believe when we talk about storing water in the State of Nebraska we are talking about the most important resource we have and the only way in the world we can ever support this state government, our local governments and any social issues that any of us are interested in. Certainly if we can't spend \$4 million for that purpose, there is something wrong with our thinking in this state.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Hoagland. Senator Schmit. Is Senator Schmit in the House?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would have to rise in opposition to the motion by Senator Landis. Although I did vote for both the Chambers motion and the Schmit and Kremer motion, I share their concern. I have always been one of those who is interested in taking care of present needs rather than long range future commitments. So I can see their concern. But I think that to reconsider the action that we took on the Schmit and Kremer amendment is not the way to succeed in the event that Senator Chambers chooses to reconsider the action on his motion. I believe he may have more votes at a different time. I have studied those votes. I think there is some other votes there. I support him but I think that the thinking behind the \$4 million was sound and it should stand. Now if we want to start to reverse our action, then perhaps we ought to just go back the whole way and concede that the Appropriation Committee did a good job, did a substantial job and let her all go by the board. I am sure that Senator Warner and his committee members would agree with that. But if you are going to base your vote upon how subsequent votes go, then I think we are going to jeopardize the entire process. Let's judge each on their merits. I think Senator Chambers, Senator Landis, and many other Senators here who have a deep conviction as I do about the unfortunate children will be able to get the necessary votes that they need to act upon that motion in a positive manner. I know that Senator Landis does mean anything impunitive and, therefore, I would hope that you would not support the Landis motion.