

in the appropriation. The recommended...includes for three additional agents with state funds. Actually it comes out four when you get the matching funds that normally is there. The budget has positions for 172 such individuals across the state. The travel the current year that was authorized is \$408,465. The actual amount that they would have allocated next year will be decided by the Regents when they break up...break it up, because we do not, or the Legislature does not allocate by program, travel, all those breakdowns that are there. And I guess in summing it up, I would imagine that out of the hundred and some million that the University would be appropriated, 144 million, almost 145, that there ought to be adequate funds to do some increase in travel if they wish. I think the problem that this came up with is the people read in the paper that the committee had a policy which we did have that traveling cost would...was based on '79-'80 actual with no increase. But that travel item is not fuel cost. That travel item deals with airplane travel and that kind of travel, and that was an across the board committee policy with some exceptions. When it comes to such things as fuel where they purchase motor vehicle fuel, that was figured at \$1.50 a gallon. There was normal reimbursement for replacement of motor vehicles where they are state owned at their traditional level, the whole series of calculations that should have adequately taken care of the increase in travel cost that is pointed out, unless, of course, the Regents choose to use the funds some other way. And if they choose to do that, there is nothing you can do about it, or that we can do about it in any event under the current constitutional provision. I would oppose the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Marsh, do you wish to speak to the Maresh amendment?

SENATOR MARSH: Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would like to again say, we did allow in our budgets, Senator Maresh, for four additional County Agents if the Regents choose to do the split of dollars in that way. And since we have allowed those additional dollars, I cannot support your amendment, and I hope the entire legislative body does not add these extra dollars. We still have a number of A bills waiting for the processing of the budget documents across our board. Some dollars need to be left for A bills. If we continue to put in \$100,000 here and a \$109,000 there, we will not have adequate dollars left to do the things that we intended to do when those bills were advanced to Final Reading. I oppose the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is the adoption of the Maresh