

mainourished children which is a step beyond hunger, you can have those on the verge of starving. You can have those whose intellect may be affected due to malnutrition and we don't care, because now we are talking about actual dollars. But when the time comes to vote on resolutions, whose intent is to cast us as people concerned about the welfare of human beings who can't help themselves, we will sign all the resolutions but we won't put our budget money where our resolution mouth is. This amendment being offered by Senator Schmit does not assume in my mind the magnitude of importance that some of these others do.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have a minute left.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I think in view of what the Legislature has said time and again about law enforcement, law and order, respect for the law, concern for victims and that entire complex of issues, it is difficult for me to see how such a large cut can be made in this program. So I would like to ask Senator Fowler, who, based on what has been said so far, seems to be the most liberal of the budget committee members to have spoken on the issue.... Senator Fowler, let me ask you a question. Would you be unwilling, Senator Fowler, to restore \$5 to this program?

SENATOR FOWLER: To the Crime Commission?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR FOWLER: Five dollars. There is a real principle at stake here, you know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Fowler, you are very savvy, but would you agree to restore \$5?

SENATOR FOWLER: Is that for John Evans' salary?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Fowler, I am trying to discuss this in the abstract.

SENATOR FOWLER: I would....\$5 I might be willing. Now \$10, you are pushing me, and if you ask \$25, I would have to take another look at it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I only want to make one thing clear because we did recommend some pickup here as I indicated earlier. There were some places that we did. The recommendation here is a \$50,000 pickup of what previously