

the Nebraska statutes. I think there is precedent for Senator Labeledz's amendment stating the age at where she stated it and I think it becomes almost an arbitrary decision. I think you could probably, depending on your philosophy, lean one way or the other, and my particular philosophy is that I want to involve and provide for parental support to a person in this situation under these circumstances wherever and however possible.

SENATOR NICHOL: The Speaker has stated that we will adjourn or recess rather at 12:40 and then we will leave this situation and come back after lunch and go to Appropriation bills. We still have six speakers and Senator Chambers, you are next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, after listening to Senator Schmit indicate that the Legislature should go ahead and pass the bill even if it is contrary to what the Supreme Court has ruled, makes me feel he would be more dangerous at Ord than I am, so he ought to keep that in mind. Now, Senator Labeledz, during the Vietnam demonstrations in this country there were also Monks who disagreed with Madam Neu (phonetic) and her husband's administration so they would pour gasoline on themselves and set themselves afire, and they thought this would appeal to Madam Neu, but she said, no, that makes me no difference, as a matter of fact, I will give them the gasoline and matches. So, since the Legislature's intent on showing this defiance, I am going to vote against Senator Johnson's motion. I feel that when the Supreme Court gives a decision on an issue like abortion, the words that it uses to render its decision have more meaning a lot of times than words in routine run of the mill cases. You could almost say that some of the words in their decision are words of art on which will hang the outcome of similar cases before the court. And your amendment does not track the language of the Supreme Court's decision which I am glad for. Senator Johnson makes a blunder as I and others have made tactical blunders on this abortion question by trying to offer amendments to make the bills constitutional. I am through with that. Every unconstitutional provision that is offered, I am going to vote for, because that is my way of voting to knock out the bill, and it also will put me in a position to argue against conservatives like Senator Lamb and Hefner who are worried about how much money the state is wasting. I am going to help them vote for unconstitutional provisions and also for the reason that it will irritate Senator Higgins as I happened to see her come within view. She has a feeling about lawyers making all this money.