

April 30, 1981

LB 536

Beyond that, and more importantly I think, the state itself has a surplus property program. The state surplus property program is basically the same function, does the same thing. They have five people in DAS that are doing that now. They understand this kind of a program. To me, it is obvious and logical that what should be done is that the federal program should be added onto the state program and that should be one unit of 11 people working on surplus property programs which are basically the same type of program. We are so short on time, I am not going to belabor this long, but I wanted to give you the opportunity of doing this in the way I felt was the correct manner of rather than shifting this function from one agency to another mindlessly. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp and then Senator Warner.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I sponsored this bill on behalf of the Governor. It is one of the major Task Force bills. It is ready for Final Reading now. I oppose the amendment and Senator Warner is going to explain to me why I oppose the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: That's a concept I hope you use all day, John. I would rise to oppose the amendment for a couple of reasons. I don't know if there is any one particular logic better than another. There is obviously contact with the Military Department with the federal government from time to time, so there is some relationship there. But what it really goes back to, some years ago this whole function was in the Department of Education and then the service was expanded and it was looked for a home and at that point the bill was in Appropriations Committee before I was a member, and the original concept was to place it exactly where Senator Beutler is suggesting today, is the Department of Administrative Services. The Department of Administrative Services at that time, as I recall, resisted it. It was the previous administration and I think that administration felt that it was not proper to do it. Obviously, this administration does not feel it is the proper place for it, and as I recall, the Roads ended up with it at the time it did happen because they lost and that was about it. It was a place to put it, and I think the Military is a logical place, they certainly do have facilities for storage. There are facilities all over the state, as a matter of fact, armories, storage buildings,