

(3) Procedures governing the operation of pursuits including, but not limited to, the number and types of vehicles which may be used, the method of operation of such vehicles, and the exercise of supervision during pursuits; and so on and so forth". Now what is the difference between what I have got right here and what Senator Chambers has got? Senator Chambers has put into statute all the exact specifics as he views them that they should be in this written policy, this written procedure that the patrol or law enforcement would develop. They say rather than have it all written into statute, they want some flexibility. They want some flexibility to draft what works for them, what they know from experience, so on and so forth, with the very specific guidelines we have given them. Now that is not to say that most of what he has got there or all of it, I don't know, all of it might well be adopted or written into their high-speed pursuit policy, but since law enforcement has agreed to go along with the bill and has worked to get support for it and since the bill does, at least in my humble opinion, make some big steps, some big steps, forward in the area of high-speed chases from the standpoint of more severe penalties, yes, but more standards, very specific standards, on police. It covers both sides of the question, and as Senator Beutler says, he would go a little further, maybe not as far as Senator Chambers in what he'd do, but a little further than this bill. This bill is step one. Let's watch this summer how quickly these things are drafted. Let's use some legislative oversight and see if we can't really make some progress in the area and I believe with the bill as it is now, without adding to it, you are going to accomplish these goals particularly, particularly, Senator Chambers,...

PRESIDENT: One minute, Senator.

SENATOR DeCAMP: ...who has been and will be I am sure the watchdog over law enforcement. I am sure he will make sure that the standards and practices that are drafted by the law enforcement will be reasonable and effective, and so I would urge you to take that into consideration in whatever you do on your vote on this.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, I rise to support Senator Chambers in this amendment, much for the same reasons as Senator Chambers pointed out, but as Senator DeCamp so aptly pointed out, the bill, and I disagree with Senator Chambers in this, I think the bill is a good bill, and I think that the notion that Senator Chambers is attempting to amend into this bill out of his original amendment is a good, some good criteria that it should be our job and our prerogative to put in. As Senator DeCamp mentions, the bill