germane, it doesn't relate to this law, it has nothing
to do in this area, you forget worrying about professional
privileges because they don't exist to begin with, and
you do it the right way and then it will be done correctly.
I happen to think it would be a bad amendment because
I think in the end it would have an inhibiting effect
not just on attorney members in here, mind you....

SENATOR NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: ....but upon anybody who is engaged
in a business where that business has an ongoing clientele
such as Senator Dworak's insurance business. Who wants
to have to name all the people that do business with
that particular enterprise? That would genuinely have a
chilling effect on who decides to be a candidate for
office and who does not decide to be a candidate for
office. I suspect the initial language we passed back
in 1976 is good language. it is the right language and
I think both the Newell and Schmit amendments should be
rejected.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Newell, did you wish to close?
I have two lights on but you have spoken to it and I
assume you do not wish to speak to this amendment. Thus
being the case, all those in favor of the Newell amendment
to the Schmit amendment please vote yes, those opposed no. Senator Newell,
do you want to do anything about this or not? Senator
Newell, did you want to do anything further? Record,
please.

CLERK: 3 ayes, 14 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to
amend.

SENATOR NICHOL: The motion lost. We are back to the
Schmit amendment. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp moves to amend the
Schmit amendment. After the word "Act" insert "if the
client waives the attorney/client privilege. Attorneys
subject to this section shall inquire of each client as
to whether he or she may dissolve....disclose, excuse me,
such information and shall file the information which is
required".

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, did you wish to speak
to your amendment?

CLERK: I think Senator DeCamp wishes to withdraw, Mr.
President.