

Johnson, or Peterson or Peterson, or anyone like that, that client knows that if he is a nonattorney, his name is going to show up in the accountability files as having done business with that individual. If I were to receive over a thousand dollars, for example, from the Farm Equipment Manufacturers, it would have to be listed. It has to be listed as such. If Senator DeCamp were to receive over a thousand dollars from the Farm Equipment Manufacturers, he would not have to list it. All of the funds that Senator DeCamp raised through his fundraiser could have been collected as attorney fees without having been reported to anyone. That is the irony of the situation. If Senator DeCamp would have wanted to hide under the rug, that would have been the way to have done it. He would be scot-free and as clean as a hounds tooth and laughing all the way to the bank. I know Senator Chambers has a concern about this and a justifiable one as I do. But I think that if I were to retain, if I were to walk into Senator Beutler's office and say, Senator Beutler, I want you to represent me in this case, I would have to be...I ought to know that I am going to be listed as a client if I pay him over a thousand dollars, and I can make my decision based upon whether or not I want to have that appear. Now I agree it is going to cause some hardship. There is going to be someone who says, well, I don't want my name to appear as a client of Senator Beutler.

SENATOR NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: By the same token, as I said before, Senator Johnson as an engineer would probably lose some clients because he would not want...or they might not want their names to appear. I think it would be most interesting...remember what the amendment does, and I am sorry that I drafted it that it is not perhaps drafted as eloquently as it might have been drafted by an attorney, but I would say this, you do just what every other candidate does. Now is there anything wrong with that? I think not. I think not, ladies and gentlemen. I ask you to support the amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Vard Johnson, did you wish to speak to the Newell amendment?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I do wish to speak to the Newell amendment and in doing so speak also to the Schmit amendment because the Newell amendment attempts to amend what is really a very poorly drafted amendment as Senator Schmit has indicated. He needs an attorney to help him draft the amendment. He said he couldn't hire