

April 29, 1981

LB 134

Teachers make a sacrifice because they are not in the classroom. They can't perform their functions. But the attorney is in a unique position because of his situation. Although I will say this, it is also a personal service and requires their full attention and they make a great sacrifice. But I think the public is entitled to know, they are entitled to know the clients of those members of this body who are attorneys. I think you have left a tremendous loophole if we do not close that and I would hope you would support the amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL PRESIDING

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Beutler, did you wish to speak to the Schmit amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I do. Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, what we are talking about right now is no special privilege that attorneys have. If it is a special privilege in any sense, it applies to farmers and to business people and to professional people of all types. What Senator Schmit is doing is picking out one type of occupation and saying that apparently they are going to have to disclose who their clients are. Let's talk about now what the general law is, and I am talking about 49-1496 B(2). This is the law, and it says if income results from employment by operation of or participation in a proprietorship, that's business men or partnership, that could be lots of different types of businesses or professional corporation, or business, or nonprofit corporation, the person may list the proprietorship or partnership or professional corporation, etcetera, as the source of his income in his disclosure and not the patron customers, patients or clients of the proprietorship. Okay, it is this rule that attorneys fall under. We don't report each individual client because we are not required to by the law, but by the same law...by the same law farmers don't have to report who they sell to or who their clients are, or a business man doesn't have to report who his clients are. Senator Schmit was talking about being in the spraying business. Well I suppose theoretically if you were doing a hundred percent of your work for one corporation, even though you were an independent contractor, you might argue that that client should be reported by his spraying operation, by his spraying partnership or business. Or let's say, for example, that I am a salesman and I am selling a particular product but it is one client specifically that buys a hundred percent of my product, it