

think at the same time the reaction I have gotten in my District and elsewhere when I have spoken to groups is that, boy, there's a lot of problems with that program but you know there is some good that it serves as well. And I think the focus of attention ought to be on identifying specifically the problems that we have, looking at specific solutions to those problems and trying to come to some sort of resolution as to those problems that now exist. But I think what Senator Vickers says is, no, we've got problems, let's just get rid of the program, and I think that that is a step going too far at this point. So I think what Senator DeCamp has come up with is a compromise proposal that really does do what we want to do and that is place the onus on the supporters of the program to come up with a solution that improves it. That is to say, that if you can't come up with a program that is going to improve what we have, make it work properly, then the program is out, then it's gone July 1 of 1982, and I think that that puts the pressure on those who support the auto inspection, that puts the heat on people to not just have another interim study because if they don't do this interim study, if they don't do the work that is called for in this amendment, the program is gone. It won't be there. So I think that is an encouragement that you usually don't have and that is why when Senator Vickers talks about not another interim study, this one is going to have to be different or else the program is going to be eliminated, and I think that is a pretty fair situation to be in. So the onus is placed where it should be. It should be on those who support the program. They should come up with solutions that improve the program and if they can't, let's do away with it. And I think the time frame is a fair one and I think certainly it really does focus the attention as it should be on trying to find improvements. If they can't be found, then let's eliminate the program. That is a sequence that makes sense to me. So I urge your support for the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Hefner.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President, members of the body, I rise to support the DeCamp amendment. A little earlier I passed an editorial around from the Omaha World Herald and the title of it is, "Isn't State Auto Inspection Worthy of Another Look". And I guess what I am saying to you here today, let's don't be so quick in abolishing this law. It has been in effect for the past twelve years and I think that we should take time to take another look. It is true, we have had problems in this program but I think in the last couple of years that we have corrected a lot of problems. I realize that we have some shady dealers. We have