

their bills. Senator Goll, it is a little different when you repossess a car or when you try to get plaster out of a house. There is a lot of difference. It can't be done with many materials that are put in a house. This is why the lien law in the first place was adopted, to protect some small people who could not go back and get the material, get their material or work out of a house that they had put in. There are two sides to this question. Now simply because I, as a home buyer, do not wish to pay attention, even though I am putting my life savings up to now and perhaps my savings for many years hence into this house, I can just be willy-nilly about anything that happens and say well I am anxious to get into that house. I don't care what it takes. When is the first day I can get in there and how much are my payments with utter disregard for anything else and hopes that some subcontractor will forget about being paid for the things that he has in that house. There are two sides to this, not only the person who is buying a house must be protected regardless of what he or she thinks they must do. If you are buying a house and putting a chunk of money into it and obligating yourself for many years, for goodness sake, you must pay attention to a few details. Simply because the financing company or the mortgage company says, well there may be liens against it, you may even not know what a lien is but in honesty and support of lending institutions, they attempt to draw your attention to the things that you should be wary of and leary of when you go into such a contract. I don't think that this Legislature should be saying to all of the home buyers, the homebuilders in the future, you be stuck for the loss for those that don't choose to pay attention. You pay attention when you buy your house but simply because somebody else doesn't want to, then you make up the loss because somebody is going to make up the loss. Senator Fenger has an amendment on this bill at the moment that will attempt to take up that loss. We all do the same thing for fire insurance on our home. My goodness, if Senator Johnson's house burns down, we don't take the loss. If it burned down when it is halfway built does he expect those subcontractors to take the loss? Heavens no, he is covered with loss insurance. This kind of loss can be covered with a minimal payment, hundred and a quarter, hundred and seventy-five dollars, something of this nature. As was pointed out a little while ago, the abstractors don't want this bill. Would you if it were about to put you out of business or take a fourth or a half or three-fourths of your business away? Heck no, you would be against the bill. We are not in favor of bills that take business away from us and I don't think we should pay much credence to that statement. So, what I am saying is that even though there may be