

April 27, 1981

LB 284

the entire amount of money in a method which truly is constitutionally suspect, and then, of course, for the third year it adopts some other revenue sharing schemes that we have already used in this state that are sound, responsible schemes. So it seems to me, it seems to me that we really make a mistake in sort of unthinkingly going along with Senator DeCamp's proposal and I know that he and Senator Schmit have made that proposal with the best of intention and the best of thought. On the other hand, on the other hand, when we give to....

PRESIDENT: About a half a minute, Senator.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: ....our local officials a \$70 million fund that is genuinely constitutionally suspect, you and I have not done our jobs as good legislators. You and I really are giving them a time bomb. So I submit that what we should do at this juncture is accept the Newell formulation, work hard over the next couple of years to make necessary changes if they be such, but at least we will have given local government funds that probably will be constitutionally upheld.

PRESIDENT: Before we call on the next speaker, the Chair would like to...I believe they have gone, but I will mention that they were here from Senator Lowell Johnson's District some 15 students from kindergarten through 6th Grade from the Lutheran Grade School at Hooper, Nebraska with Mrs. Marian Rohlf's, teacher, and from Fremont, Nebraska of the Bellview Grade School, 6 students, 1st through 6th Grade with Mrs. Mildred Hindmarsh, teacher. I believe they were here. I don't believe they are up in the balcony, are they, anymore? Anyway, they were present and they left before we could get them introduced. The Chair recognizes Senator Kahle.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. President and members, we have been over this so often in the last five years and before that, that it is beginning to get tiresome for all of us, I am sure. But I would still like to go back to 518 and the purposes that we had in mind when 518 came before us. I think if we could go back we would probably make some changes but we didn't. 518 called for \$70 million to replace at least a part of the personal property tax that would be lost by the local governments. It had nothing to do with aid to education or anything else along those lines. The money was appropriated last year in the budget for \$70 million. I heard no language change in that appropriation that would say that it was not appropriated to replace the loss of personal property taxes to the subdivisions of government. So now we find