

April 24, 1981

LB 384

As I recall, on page three, lines 6 through 12, all I was suggesting is to strike the language which is sort of a policy statement saying that the problems that were earlier identified in the act cannot be remedied through the operation of private enterprise or individual communities but it can only be resolved to the creation of governmental body and as a matter of policy I don't think the state ought to state in their statutes that the private sector is unable or impossible to resolve this issue and I think Senator Schmit has indicated he did not object to the striking of those words because it makes no substantive change as far as the operation of the act. I would move the amendment be adopted.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Is there any other discussion on the Warner amendment? Okay, the motion is the adoption of the Warner amendment to LB 384. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is carried and the amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vickers now moves to amend the bill by striking subsections (2) and (3) of section 2.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. Chairman and members, I believe this amendment meets with Senator Schmit's approval also but I will let him say, speak on that issue himself. But it seems to me that some of the intent language in LB 384 we should be a little more careful about putting in the statutes. Subsection (2) and (3) of section 2 talks about unemployment and the weaknesses that, economic weaknesses that contribute to unemployment. It also talks about the pressure on the state welfare, public health and crime prevention programs and so forth. I am not sure that additional funds available to agriculture will really prevent these sorts of things and I am not sure it is a good idea for us to put it in the statutes that we believe that it will. As a matter of fact, it seems to me that the least funds that we have in agriculture, sometimes those of us that are in agriculture have to work that much harder. Maybe we can not hire as much help but on the other hand we might have to hire more help if we can't buy the modern labor saving equipment that we would be able to if we had an adequate amount of capital. But again, it just seems to me that perhaps we should not be putting this type of

3787