

April 23, 1981

LB 252

courts with this thing now and it should have been left there. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. Speaker, colleagues, I find it almost amusing to hear Senator Hoagland maintain that he wants to protect the contributing basin where there is a reasonable foreseeable future use of water for whatever that reason may be, whether it be drinking water, he mentioned drinking water, irrigation, he mentioned irrigation and he said gosh, we are really going to curtail transbasin diversion if there is a foreseeable future beneficial use. But I thought this body was pretty well decided that we wanted to protect that contributing basin. If there was in fact a legitimate foreseeable future use we would want to protect those people. So, I don't see where this amendment is changing the basic philosophy, the whole concept of the bill. I think everybody in the room, including myself, absolutely in favor of transbasin diversion when there is a surplus and water is being wasted. But, when there is no surplus and when we are taking away that water from some people that need it when we are disadvantaging those people that need it and can use it at the advantage of somebody else, then I think we have got some real serious problems and I think Senator Lamb's amendment hits it square on, straight on. I hear Senator Kremer say hey, this is a great concept protecting the contributing basin when we are talking about a multiple state situation and we are talking about large rivers but then says the concept is not valid when we are talking about smaller basins. I can't understand or follow the logic of that. I think the amendment is a good amendment and I don't think it drastically alters the bill. All it does is reinforce what we have been talking about here for eight hours, almost. We are just merely reinforcing that principle. I think if we didn't put this in here we'd create some doubt. Again, and Senator Kahle says that it should stay in the courts, I disagree, it should be in this legislative body. It should have been in this legislative body fifteen-twenty years ago. Because we refused to bite the bullet, because we refused to react it got into the courts. We gave them our authority, we gave it up. I think the Lamb-Wagner amendment is a good amendment, a solid amendment and one that ought to be adopted.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch.