

April 16, 1981

LB 272

what we will discuss now is "strike lines 9 and 10, page 6", strike the language there and insert "the beneficial uses to be made in a proposed interbasin transfer".

SENATOR DWORAK: That is correct.

CLERK: Okay.

SENATOR DWORAK: Thank you.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Wagner, did you want to speak to the first division of the question?

SENATOR WAGNER: Mr. Speaker, I really want to speak more to the second part.

SENATOR NICHOL: Thank you. Senator Lamb, did you want to speak to the first portion of the question? Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President, I think I want to speak to both sections. But I guess at this point I have a question of Senator Vickers. You are striking lines 9 and 10. Is that correct? And you are inserting "the beneficial uses of the proposed interbasin transfer".

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Senator Lamb, the language that I am suggesting to be used is "the beneficial uses to be made of the proposed interbasin transfer".

SENATOR LAMB: Well I guess, Mr. Chairman, I...it seems to me that the language there is saying what we really need to say, and the adverse...I object to striking lines 9 and 10. I guess I don't object to the addition of the language which Senator Vickers is suggesting. However, to...I think the Director should be required to consider the adverse effects of the proposed interbasin transfer. If you are not going to propose...or if you are not going to consider the adverse effects, you are not considering the whole issue. So that would leave it all on one side of the fence. If you are only going to consider the benefits to one area without considering the damaging effect to the other area, you are not giving it balanced consideration. So with that thought in mind I would oppose this amendment as well as the second half of the amendment which Senator Vickers will offer subsequently.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Howard Peterson, did you wish to speak to the first part of the question?