April 15, 1981

Legislative Journal.) 26 ayes, 14 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Sieck's amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion carried. The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Landis now moves to amend the bill. Mr. President, Senator Landis...Senator, I think it would be easiest if I read it, if that is all right. (Read Landis amendment found on page 1483, Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, if vou will take a look in your Journals and keep your fingers on different pages. I will explain why the Clerk was forced to read this language in the way that it was. The pages that you should turn to are page 807, and page 1415. As you recall on General File I had a motion up to amend the bill and that language is on page 807 but we got embroiled into the implications of 298 and it didn't pass the first time so I withdrew the amendment at that point. Well, lo and behold, the bill passes on General File the second time and I didn't offer the amendment at that point. However, one of the things that did happen was that Senator Johnson offered some guarantee language. The guarantee language is the sentence, the second sentence of the new language on page 1415. That new language of guarantee is. "The city may prescribe reasonable and necessary requirements of the site development for mobile homes in such districts in accordance with local standards", in other words a bow to local control. If you look at the language on page 807, it doesn't contain that guarantee language because at that point of consideration that concession had not been made. So the language that is up on the desk right now is in essence, and on page 807 that is the section that it is being applied to but that is not the new language. that is the section that is being amended but the amendatory language is, and now turn to 1415, the new language that Senator Koch just had adopted this morning. Now let me explain why I ask you to do that. Senator Koch's language is the concession language, if you will. It was drafted in accordance with several people's wishes and it was applied to the City of Lincoln. It is in effect applying that language to our zoning standards here in the city. Well, the body adopted that and that is fine. The rest of the bill, however, as currently written, applies only to comprehensive plans and there is a lot of difference and I will tell you why. A comprehensive plan is not binding. A comprehensive plan is not a requirement on a city to enact certain kinds of responsibilities. Only a change to the zoning law can do that. The bill as originally written applies to comprehensive plans. We now have in LB 298