as an example the head rate on the canal of this district went out and they have to spend 375,000 so we thought that it would be only proper to raise the denominations up to 10,000. If there is nothing further, Mr. President, I move the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion is the adoption of the Rumery amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Rumery's amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion is carried, the amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vickers now moves to amend the bill. (Read Vickers amendment as found on page 1466 of the Legislative Journal).

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKER: Mr. President and members, the amendment that I am offering to LB 146, make no mistake about it is a major. major step in a major direction from my point of view and I am sure that it is going to be very controversial by some of the members of this body. I think that Senator Kahle pointed out just a little bit ago, the reason for this amendment, although he was talking at that time about pollution. The section that I am attempting to amend is Section 46-658 of the statutes on page. . . . Section 3 of 146 pages 4 and 5. This is the section of the statutes that sets down the criteria that the Director of the Department of Water Resources necessarily follows when a control area is asked to be established by a Natural Resources District. These are the criteria that the Natural Resources District has to meet and the Director has to agree with before a control area can be established. At the present time, under the statutes, as you will notice, the only reason for a control area to be established is because of an inadequate supply of groundwater, in other words a declining situation. The committee, with LB 146, is attempting to expand on that criteria by putting pollution of groundwater is also a reason for a control area to be established. My amendment would answer, in my opinion, the concerns of the people of the Nebraska sandhills and many other areas of the State of Nebraska who, as Senator Kahle indicated earlier, can recognize the difference between the correlation between underground and surface water as it relates to their areas. Now, being a former member of the Public Works Committee I understand the complexities of the situation and the difficulties when you are attempting to control the two uses of water but this is not in the area where you are controlling the two issues. This