

trying to deal with those problems, so I think we can do a very broad study and clean up this whole section of the act, putting in guidelines, providing for a better definition and deal with this over the interim period, but in the meantime, this specific question about osteoarthritis ought to be dealt with. The fact is there is confusion. There is a problem. People are not certain what course they should take and I think we ought to follow County Assessor Broman's advice. We are going to have a resolution soon praising her. She does a good job. I think she has identified a problem and I would like to call it to your attention through this amendment and ask for your support to return the bill, add to the definition of disabilities for progressive osteoarthritis and move on with the bill and take a broader look at the questions involved during the interim period. I ask your support for this motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Before we continue, it is my privilege to introduce from Senator Kahle's District 23 fifth and sixth grade students from the Zion Lutheran School at Kearney, Nebraska, Mr. Reed Sander, Principal, and five parents, in the North balcony. Will you hold up your hand so we can see where you are? Welcome to the Unicameral. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I would just like to briefly oppose adding another exemption to the law. I find it difficult to believe that in light of the discussion that has occurred and in light of the admission that Senator Wesely has just made with regard to the chaos in the law with regard to the lack of total disability definition and with regard to the lack of income definition, that in this context he would propose to put another exemption, throw another exemption in there before we have solved the problem. I am very much opposed to doing that and I must frankly say to Senator Pirsch, although I hate to do it, I am going to vote against her bill also until we get these problems cleared up because basically I don't see where the impetus to clear up these problems is going to come from unless we lay down the law that we are not giving any additional exemptions until it is clarified. I think that is what has to be done. I think the Legislature has to take a little more hardheaded approach to this and it is difficult to do because we are dealing in an area where we are trying to be fair to some very unfortunate people but we have got to do it in the name of equity to all who should or could benefit from this type of a law. I think we should stop right now with these exemptions and go back with Senator Landis' bill next year and examine the whole problem and come up with a broad solution that is