

April 14, 1981

LB 478

was what was being said in that election. Unfortunately if you change the...fill in the blank person, if you change the cast of characters and start talking about this being applied to people that fall in the status of 77-3508 and 3509, veterans from nonmilitary accidents or those who have the disabilities listed there, then apparently we do continue to allow sacred cows, we don't look for the issue of need and we simply reward a certain kind of status with a tax break. I think that is a social policy we might have afforded at a different time. But with the economy the way it is and the public sentiment the way it is, I think this Legislature has no right to continue to bow down to certain sacred cows, and then at the same time mouth the same platitudes with respect to other kinds of people that benefit from social policy and social programs, the poor, the needy, ADC mothers, hot lunch programs and the like. We have reined in education. We have reined in the fourth graders, by god. We have made sure that we have got tight fiscal control there. We have got tight fiscal control on the University. We have got tight fiscal control on welfare programs, but with respect to this issue, apparently the Legislature doesn't want to look to need. The Legislature doesn't want to look to wise expenditures of dollars but simply for the reward of status and privilege.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Your time is up.

SENATOR LANDIS: And that is what we are about to do with this amendment. I will close with that and I want you to notice that I did that without rancor for the most part.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Howard Peterson.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I just would like to rise and correct some errors that I believe have been made on this legislative floor this morning. Number one, every person who came before the Revenue Committee including Senator Pirsch relative to this particular subject, I raised the question of whether or not we couldn't put in some income criteria. All agreed we could. It just seems to me that is what we are doing with this particular amendment. I think what we are trying to do is to treat everyone the same. Now relative to Senator Burrows, as far as any expenses in terms of what you might need for medical care, this kind of thing, certainly these are taken off the federal income tax and thus would come off of the state income tax and would reflect back in what that person has as far as income is concerned. So what we are really doing is getting people down on an equal basis. It appears to me that what we really