

April 14, 1981

LB 478

this is really one reason why I object to it. If we had it in a committee hearing, we could have brought these points up but nothing like this was done, and to do it on Select File is where I have my problems with doing something like that. If you want to do it, then I say, you know for these questions you are asking, it'd been brought out in a committee hearing. Maybe it doesn't answer your question but that is where I think they should have been discussed.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, I just find it interesting that apparently there has been a lot of lobbying indicating that people will be cut out in these income guidelines and I guess I would like to know, are we talking about people with hundred thousand dollar houses whose wives have had very good jobs and this sort of thing or are we talking, you know, who are we talking about, I don't know.

SENATOR WAGNER: Chris, I am going to go back and I am not really going to answer your question but the thing I keep coming back to, if we had a public hearing, these things could have been discussed at that public hearing. And all of a sudden we have an amendment up, the Landis amendment up here, that brings these things up that we really don't get a chance for it. Now this has happened, and I think Senator Cullan had a bill here the other day that he got switched around and they went back to a committee hearing simply because it didn't basically have a public hearing. My biggest contention is, it didn't have a public hearing and I think it should have had a public hearing. It has been tacked on to a little tiny bill and you have got a completely different thing tied to it. That is my objections, basically the most.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, we have served in this body, some of us at least, with a member of the armed services who qualified for this disability, this homestead exemption. It was Senator Murphy. Two years ago when I tried to make exactly the same change, Senator Murphy supported me down the line. I don't know if you remember Senator Murphy. I assume most of you do who served with him are familiar. Those of you who are new probably don't remember him. Let's see, he was a banking executive. He was a plumbing executive. He was not a man of minimal means and he stood up on this floor and said I don't need this exemption. This exemption is foolish. I thought it was an act of great courage on his