

April 14, 1981

LB 331

recognize that the providing of awards, the setting up of contests for the development of new products, is something that we can do in a number of different areas, and it is something that we should be careful about doing, and it is something, I think, that is only appropriate in the beginning stages and just in the beginning stages of very significant industries to the state. And I don't think that it is something that is appropriate as we go on down the line. The free enterprise system, I feel, will work on its own when it discovers that there is a market, that this by-product is being produced and that there is a market for it. And if we want to do a little bit to encourage it in the first two or three years of its production, then that is not inappropriate in my opinion. But I think that we should be careful about setting up these kinds of awards. It's 50 thousand in this case but we could go into a 100 different cases of this type of award and that type of award for producing this or that. So I am just suggesting that all we do is say, with regard to this unusual type of program, that we let it go on for three years and then if it is still appropriate to pay public money for the development of what is essentially a private enterprise enterprise, go ahead and do it again. But let's take a look at this sort of thing periodically because it is an unusual procedure. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, first of all, Mr. President, I want to touch upon the question of the A bill. The reason there isn't any A bill is because we haven't asked for an appropriation from the General Fund. We are asking the Ag Products Committee to take that money from their own funds, and also to use any other funds which might be contributed, for example, from the Corn Marketing Checkoff Board or the Sorghum Checkoff Board or the Wheat Division. That was our intention and we could have asked for an A bill but we did not do so based upon that decision. I don't think we need an A bill. I haven't discussed it. I would prefer not to use an A bill. I think we should use those funds that are developed within the organization. Secondly, I would have to oppose the Beutler amendment because I believe it doesn't make a lot of sense to encourage on the one hand the scientists to enter into a research project that may take a number of years, may take a long while. We have been at it such a long while already. I don't see how you can possibly put a sunset on research. If you are going to do that, then we will have to do it with all of the type of General Fund appropriations that