

April 13, 1981

LB 3

and they will say, oh, Senator Landis says that that is not what we are talking about when we talk about new language there.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, of course, that is part of the reason for getting this on the record. On the other hand...(interruption).

SENATOR LANDIS: Well, I'm on the record now, Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: On the other hand then, if I am this manufacturer and I have one more question then it would be to my advantage to buy a machine which will replace the old machine and only manufacture a hundred a day, and then buy another new machine which will clearly be for expansion. So instead of incorporating this all in one machine I will now...this will be an incentive for me to buy two machines, one to replace the old worn out machine and another machine which would clearly be for expansion. These are some of my problems. Another problem, and I don't think you heard that one but it was a pretty good one. Another one is you are saying industry and I have a question similar to Senator Kahle's in that a feeding...livestock feeding industry is an industry that can be located either in this state or another state or practically, or there is a choice. Now if you get down to producing crops, you are not going to shift that from one state to another so I can see that by giving an incentive on a combine you are not going to change the amount of wheat or corn that is produced in this state. But as far as the feeding...a feedlot is concerned, that is not true. You could either have the feedlot over in Kansas or you could have it over in Nebraska depending on the tax treatment. So the other thing I am bringing up at this point is that I see no clear reason for not granting this same tax break to a livestock feedlot because that would be bringing an industry into this state that may not choose to locate here if the tax situation were more favorable in another state. I don't want to think that I am badgering the bill. I think I will support the bill, but I do want to just point out some of the possible problems with the bill. I support the concept of what is trying to be done here. I am not sure it is not without some problems. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, I think the amendment to the committee amendments has been explained as if it were written with the word "and"