

April 13, 1981

LB 346

out in Section 65 were very beneficial in terms of making certain that we did provide real protections to juveniles and to others in juvenile court process. It is for that reason that I support, I support Section 65 and I would oppose Senator Nichol's attempt to return this law to existing law.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, just kind of a though here. This is really an important bill but it really is a non-controversial bill. What it does is codify about ten years of things that have been brought, well heck, twenty years of things that have been brought before the Judiciary Committee. I remember I was on it an awful long time when we used to have 15-25 bills a year. What they did, they had an interim study, they consolidated everything, got it pretty well cleared up. The bill itself is very little major substantive change. That which is is just pretty uniformly agreed upon and has to be done. My thought is, here is a particular area that Vard has some difficulties on and he has some experience in the field. Bill has some concerns about why don't we get the bill moving without this amendment. Maybe Senator Nichol could withdraw it for this time, get the committee amendments adopted, roll the bill and I would guess that probably on Select File if there is still disagreement it is disagreement that can be handled rather quickly. Does that make any sense to you Senator?

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator DeCamp you are absolutely correct. I do agree that it would be perfectly agreeable with me to withdraw this amendment at this time simply because the effective date of this is not until the middle of 1982 anyway and of course we would have between now and then to work out the language on it. I would offer to withdraw this amendment.

SENATOR DeCAMP: The whole idea of the bill, as I understand it, and you correct me if I am wrong, but the whole idea is that we get it into place now, like we did on probate code, like we did on criminal code, the various other major codes, we put an operative date basically a year ahead so that we have one more session. That forces all the groups that have an interest in it to study it and see if they have any minor changes whether it be this one or the other one and then before it goes into operation, the final operative date we would have one final chance next session to make any corrections