

you all your money back. It makes all your budgeting, everything unpredictable. It makes it unworkable, I would recommend you either kill the bill, if you are not going to adopt a workable mechanism of collecting and holding onto the money, and there is no need having checkoff programs if you are going to have them unworkable and have them so that it is just a voluntary thing. The whole theory of it is for the benefit of the industry, for the benefit of this particular industry, you set up a government sanctioned mechanism to collect some money that goes to very specific and limited purpose of promoting that product. You have the referendum of the people voting and being involved in it, go by a majority vote, and then you use the money for those purposes. But section 15 wipes out the rest of the bill in my humble opinion, and if you are going to go with the bill, which apparently there is a mood to do, at least make it some kind of a functional bill rather than a comedy, as they have had, as I say, in a couple of other states where they collect \$500,000 and then \$200,000 of it or \$300,000 gets refunded and they are back to ground point zero. So I urge adoption of this amendment. It just strikes the refund mechanism and I haven't checked the bill thoroughly to make sure if I have to change any of the language but I think that is the only section. I urge you adopt the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Wagner and then Senator Kahle.

SENATOR WAGNER: Mr. Speaker, members, I would support John on this because I think absolutely to make a program go you need a solid amount of dollars coming in and, basically, if you start a program and if everybody or a good portion would make a refund, it really leaves you with not knowing what kind of a budget you could adopt. I think taking that away or allowing anybody to make a refund like that just kind of lets you hang it limbo, therefore, I support Senator DeCamp and his amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kahle.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. Speaker and members, I support what Senator DeCamp is trying to do and I am not sure either whether it covers it all in the total bill but when we passed the corn checkoff a couple of years ago that was a bone of contention and so none of our farm organizations oppose not being able to get the refund just on their philosophy and I admire them for that. But I look at this whole picture as being somewhat changeable in the future. As Senator Schmit mentioned awhile ago, I think we are going to change the type of crops we raise under irrigation