

and if you pass the law and change it and say that it applied retroactively, then you are punishing them for relying on the law which was very clear. They detrimentally relied. That is an important concept in the law and I think that is the one we are talking about right here and that is the reason that we don't allow the government to go back and take away rights that are vested in private individuals. If these were private individuals who had relied upon this law, there would be no question. We wouldn't have to stand up here and talk about retroactivity because it simply wouldn't be a question. It wouldn't be allowed. Constitutionally it is not allowed but we are only talking about it because in this case it is a political subdivision that has relied and spent the money but those people are taxpayers, too, and they have proceeded. So there is a distinction, two important distinctions between this situation and Palstaff and I hope you won't be fooled by that analogy. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Sleck. The question has been called for, do I see five hands? All those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? The motion before the House is to cease debate? Okay, record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I will be very brief. I made the remark that almost everything that comes before us we grandfather when we start something new and about the only thing I can really come up with that we have grandfathered that makes a big impact is that we grandfathered attorneys. There is such a thing as book attorneys and educated attorneys. If you were a book attorney when they put the law in that you had to graduate from school, they let the book attorneys be attorneys. So there is a perfect example that you don't go back on everything and make people conform to a new law. Now, here is what the Natural Resources Commission said. "The acres in question includes much of the land necessary for development. Access to the reservoir along one-third of the shoreline will also have to be greatly restricted or perhaps even prevented". That is what we are doing, restricting and probably preventing access to this whole project. Enders Lake down around Imperial has cabins on one side only. They won't have any more cabins. None of the state lakes will have any more cabins. The Game and Parks Commission have put a moratorium on cabins. They cannot and will not be