

Court has ruled yet, Senator Hoagland, and it was never tried in District Court. I think, as Senator Cullan has pointed out, that you want to apply the yardstick of equity when it applies to one instance and you don't want to apply it in the other. It is okay to save the brewery, and I was in favor of that, but it is not okay for someone to talk about saving a man's farm. I think that you ought to be consistent. I know it will not impact upon the Oliver Project. As I said earlier, the funds that have been expended have been invested and will be used for the public benefit. There will be not one dollar lost, not one dollar will be lost if LB 243 becomes law as it is drafted at the present time. The implication that because there is only one man involved or one family involved it's not important. Since when does that become the criteria? I think it is very important. I think it is a low day in this Legislature when the rights of one person are not important, or one family. We talked about the number of contracts that might be jeopardized. Well that is the same thing that is going to happen in a multitude of other instances if we pass a law in this Legislature. If we pass a law to outlaw the death penalty, the men on death row, are we going to go ahead and execute them? I think not. I think not. I am sure in the dickens would not be in support of that. I think that we have to recognize that the law, if it becomes law, becomes law on a certain date and I want to say one more thing. If you adopt the Haberman amendment as amended by the Beutler amendment, do you think that any individual is not going to go into court and is not going to say the Legislature has spoken? It is the will of the Legislature that this is true. And what is the court going to say? I think the court is going to say the legislative intent is clear. We don't want that condemnation to be used in that manner. It is wrong and, therefore, I think the court would find for the individual. I would hope so. I think we have seen several instances recently, one in Omaha, others, in this instance, where it is necessary for this Legislature to respond to a need. I think we have done so. I think we have done so correctly. I believe that LB 243 is another opportunity for that to happen. I would hope, and Senator Hoagland says, anyone that doesn't like the law can come to this Legislature, doesn't like a court decision, can come to this Legislature. That is where many proposed bills come from, someone who doesn't like the existing situation. They want to change it. They come to one of us, to a lobbyist, a lawyer, they ask, if it makes sense, we work at it. I think that is the way the system is supposed to work. My concern about eminent domain has been documented for many, many years, and I don't think that it is fair for anyone to imply