

April 10, 1981

LB 243

individuals, with regard to private parties, and this is the law as we would understand to be if we didn't have an Attorney General's opinion, that the law is that you cannot retroactively go back and harm the vested interest of private individuals by passing a new law now, and yet, in fact, in substance what we are saying right now unless we get the Haberman amendment is that we can pass a new law now and go back and harm the interest of the NRDs who acted in good faith under that law by essentially making hundreds of thousands of dollars that they have spent, turning that into wasted money. So all we are saying is that we should treat the public entities and the public officials and their constituents who acted in good faith under the prior law the same as we would be required by law to treat private individuals who acted in good faith under the prior law. That is all this amendment does and I think it is just a matter of basic fairness. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: We are now voting on the Beutler amendment to the Haberman amendment. All those in favor of the adoption of the Beutler amendment to the Haberman amendment vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 19 ayes, 9 nays, Mr. President, to adopt the Beutler amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the motion carried. The amendment is adopted. What is the next item? We now vote on the Haberman amendment as amended. All those in favor of that motion vote aye, opposed vote...Okay, Senator Cullan, the Chair recognizes you.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I guess I am not exactly sure what the amendment is like now and I guess I would ask Senator Haberman if he could just give us a brief minute to tell us exactly what the Beutler or what the Haberman amendment is like as amended.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Cullan, the Beutler-Haberman amendment says that where a project for a dam or for a lake where money has been spent, where they have been started, where they have been planned and planning money has been spent, which in this amounts to hundreds of thousands of dollars all over the state, it says that it cannot be retroactive and stop that progress.

SENATOR CULLAN: Okay, thank you, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: It is like we have grandfather clause about