

about prevention of damages from flood water and sediment? Questionable, at least. How about pollution control? The water runs four directions off of my home farm in Summit Township. Can someone say you are polluting the Blue, the Platte, the Missouri, and therefore we are going to take Section 12. We are going to seed it to grass. We are going to build terraces on it. We are going to condemn that property. We are going to control that erosion. We are going to do it now, stop it. If so, where do you stop? You had better start at the Missouri and go to the Colorado line. I think we need to recognize that the Natural Resource Districts are taking property for recreational purposes by default because we were silent in the law. We did not specify those parameters. That is what I am attempting to do at the present time. I am attempting to outline the areas, the parameters that can be utilized. LB 243 encourages cost-effective multipurpose structures. That is what it does. LB 243 encourages conjunctive use of the water, both flood control and recreation. That is my intention. LB 243 encourages basic soil and water conservation because the more small and medium sized structures we have, the more conservation we are going to have. It encourages the willing buyer, willing seller, the cooperative agreement type projects, the type that Senator Sieck and I both have had a lot of experience with and which we both know works best. LB 243 already would give the urban people more access to parks and recreation services because of the development prospects that we have for seventy-five percent, seventy-five percent of the recreational benefits. Now someone says you can't determine the benefits. Let me tell you this, ladies and gentlemen, I have served on the watershed board. Everytime that we proposed a project we had to convince the federal government before they would pay for that project that the benefits were there. You can calculate the cost of flood control, the benefits of flood control. You can calculate all those other benefits. They are a matter of dollars and cents. Of course, they can be challenged. Anything can be challenged. Senator Kremer spoke of the slowdown by the judicial process. That is what we call due process. Now do we want to take away the right of an individual, the rights of an individual? We continually bring in the other, the public purposes. No question about the right of eminent domain for public purposes. As I said, I have got a 345 kilovolt line across my farm. It has been there forty years. I have run into it with the combine auger. I have run into it with the cultivator. I have flown under it, over it, into it several times almost. I have scared the life out of myself but it is there. I don't dispute it. Do you know what we got for that when it went in? \$20 a structure.