

April 10, 1981

LB 243

SENATOR BEUTLER: Have I looked at the classifications?

SENATOR NEWELL: Have you looked at it where we are talking about acre feet and we are talking about certain other percentages and so forth in terms of recreational activities? Do you think there may be some questions or some constitutional questions about that kind of classification system? Have you looked at it in any detail?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I just saw it today, Senator Newell, and offhand I don't know of any constitutional questions but I really can't answer that very specifically at this point in time.

SENATOR NEWELL: Thank you. Senator Hoagland is up doing something else. Well I think that it would be important and maybe very valuable to find out whether or not this kind of a classification system can be justified. I know that we have had problems with classifications in the past and maybe before we get too far along offering these basic compromises we ought to see whether or not they will hold if such a bill moves along. So I just offer that point of caution to the body and I thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Hoagland, did you wish to talk on the Schmit amendment? All right. Senator Kremer, on the bill, all right. Senator Lamb. He is not here. Senator Sieck, on the Schmit amendment.

SENATOR SIECK: Yes, Mr. President, members of the body, I would like to make a couple of comments on what Senator Schmit commented on. He commented on a subject that is kind of dear to me and it is on a road structure up on the Seward-Saunders County line. I am very familiar with this project and I happen to know that the individual that he is referring to did not sign an agreement with the landowner who provided the structure, the site and the permission to give three acres of land to make the road straight and make a lot better improvement. I also happen to know that that individual got \$1,000 from the ASCS to dig a well so he could supply water to his livestock and I also know that all that would have needed to have been done was this individual would have signed this agreement and the water would have been released because the owner of that property agreed to release that water and I think we should know the true facts when we say something, and I just don't appreciate something that is not true and I know this is the fact. And on land treatment, he says this has a minority position. I can assure you that every Natural Resource District in the State of Nebraska has that as their number one priority. If you look in their one and six year plan you will find every last one of them has that as their