

it would restrict it to the establishments that have retailers licenses under the Liquor Control Act but we are seeing a proliferation of things that are demeaning and degrading to people which would not ordinarily occur were they not under the influence of alcohol. They have situations where women wrestle in mud and in jello. They have situations where they want them to fight like men with no training, with no protection and the object is to see somebody hurt. So when we begin to see numerous activities which do not in themselves have an uplifting purpose, we are becoming complicit with those types of things when we don't even impose the type of regulation that the state ought to be concerned about. I would remind people like Senator Nichol and others who may oppose this amendment, that a retailer obtains a liquor license not primarily for purposes of entertainment. Now people may behave in an entertaining fashion when they are under the influence but we are talking about a device whose purported purpose is entertainment. So you are not dealing with the right of this person with a liquor license to sell liquor and do every other thing that that license allows. These bulls and other devices are an added inducement that have nothing to do with the liquor license itself which will bring in additional profit. So the state should create a climate where the people operating these devices will be on notice that it might to be their best interest if they are going to have these devices to regulate and adjust them so that the great degree of danger that exists in their use now would be diminished considerably. Perhaps what this might do is reduce these bucking, violently whirling, twisting, plunging, lunging devices into a gentle rocking motion like one would have in a rocking chair or in a little rowboat which is being moved about on the surface of a placid lake. Now that is not too bad and as a matter of fact, that motion might help the liquor establishment because it may cause a certain churning in the stomach which will result in a regurgitation of the contents. Once this has occurred there is new capacity for ingestion of the substance that is covered by Chapter 53, or whatever it is, Section 1. What I am really trying to say is that this amendment will not restrict any rights that the person has under the retailers' license. All it is saying is that if you are going to utilize a device that offers a real and genuine danger to those who utilize the device, there is a liability that you might face and since the adjustment is in the power and under the control of the one who operates the device, by that I mean, the one who owns the establishment, this bill might result in them reducing the amount of danger involved in the utilization of these devices.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Burrows, your light was on. Do you wish to speak before we vote?