

this bill, and I urge this body to strike the Lamb amendment. Senator Haberman and Senator Lamb both said, you know, we withdrew the amendments yesterday but then they voted against the bill because there was no sunset clause in it. We offered the sunset in '84 because we felt that this whole thing shouldn't be tied up at the same time, but the sunset on this issue is absolutely necessary if we are to review it again. The history of bills in terms of distribution formulas are they never get reviewed if they are...if there is no crisis like an Attorney General's Opinion, or like a Supreme Court decision, or like a sunset provision. And so I offer this amendment to strike the Lamb amendment, to reinsert the sunset provision. If it is not in the bill, then I will oppose the bill. It is most important that this whole issue become before the Legislature again. It is most important that we must analyze not only our tax base in conjunction with everything else, but also deal with the whole question of state aid, the whole question of formulas, either try to tie them together in a revenue sharing formula, or to basically review this whole system. If this is not in the bill, there is not the impetus to find any sort of agreement on these kinds of issues. I think it is darn important that this be back in there. I, and I will encourage others to oppose this formula unless it is. The urban areas basically got nothing. The only thing is is that the review...the review of this whole issue might produce a more reasonable way of distributing tax dollars. For that reason I urge my colleagues to reconsider their actions and strike the Lamb amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Newell, I am going to have to rule the amendment out of order. You were not on the prevailing side and this is a reconsideration. So I will rule the amendment out of order. If you want to challenge the Chair, you have a right to do that, sir.

SENATOR NEWELL: Well, Senator Wesely will sign it, Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb, you are next.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I resist this. We put it on just a couple of minutes ago. I don't know why we should be talking about taking it off right now. Not that it is all that important, but I just don't see why we should be doing that. We have got, how many other kinds of tax laws do we have that we don't sunset? How about Medicaid redistribution back to the counties, such as LB 39? Are