

April 9, 1981

LB 284

but at this point in time that doesn't matter. Senator Newell assures me there is going to be a revenue study. I have been assured of that almost as long as he has been here, and he has been a member of the Revenue Committee, and I haven't found any great urgency on his part to do that. But I will have to say this, that the amendment means about as much to me as...well, I am not going to say. So I kind of have to agree with Senator Marsh, it doesn't make any difference if we vote for it or against it because before the day ends or before the session ends, there is going to be somebody else have something to say about the bill probably. I think that you have to remember one thing, there is a sense of responsibility here, and I want to thank those of you from both sides of the issue who have exercised your judgment, and I include in that Senator Newell whom I have not often agreed with on the issue. I think it is important that we face the issue. I don't like the result. I made that clear. I don't think I kept my commitment to the people that I promised but I did the best I could, and that is all that I can do. So I suggest you do as you like about the amendment because it won't mean one thing tomorrow because someone might change his mind again.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, I think it is fine the way we have settled down to face this issue today. It looks like we are making some real headway. I don't know whether I like this amendment or not, but I would like to have an explanation from somebody that said the urban districts were grabbing the money and running with it. I represent an urban district and I am losing a million bucks. Anybody that has the answer I'd be welcome to hear it as to how I am grabbing the money and running with it and still at the same time losing a million bucks. I am not very smart but I can't figure that one out and I need some help. So anybody that has the answer for me, let me know so I can tell the folks back home how things are going. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I sat here and haven't said a word and that is unusual the last few weeks for me, but I can't understand that if yesterday everything was fine to have no sunset provision in one bill and then we come today and we want the same thing in another bill, and all of a sudden it becomes distasteful. As everybody says, it can