figure and I hope I have convinced you that that money was in the state treasury and that it would have been paid out and the state would not have nad to borrow. I wish I would have had time to sheek with the State Treasurer to see how much money is on hand in the state treasury right now and every month since those payments were due. I am personally convinced that that money was on interest and that the state is going to gain from those funds that were not paid out. I am also convinced that the subdivisions of government did lose that amount of money. Now you can vote the way you please and I am going to support the bill whichever way it goes. I will be real honest with you but I think the fair way would be to put that interest on. I am going to quit with that. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: The question is the adoption of the Kahle amendment. All those in favor vote ave. opposed nay.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting no.

SENATOR CLARK: Have you all voted? Once more, have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 16 ayes, $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ nays on the adoption of Senator Kahle's amendment. Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The amendment failed. Do you have anything else on the bill?

CLERK: Yes, sir. Mr. President, Senator Lamb moves to amend the bill. (Read Lamb amendment as found on pages 1382-1383 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, all this does is strike the termination date or the sunset part of it and it is not a real big issue as far as I am concerned. But I think it is comparable to yesterday's action on LB 40 when in the wisdom of this body the termination date or the sunset clause was stricken from that bill and the argument was made that anytime you have 25 votes in succeeding sessions of the Legislature the proposal can be modified, terminated, whatever you want to do with it. It is my understanding that Senator DeCamp is not opposing this. I am not sure whether he is completely supportive of it but it sounded to me as best I could ascertain that he was not opposing this elimination of the sunset clause.