

against it. All those in favor of stopping debate vote aye, opposed vote no. Voting no Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting no.

SENATOR CLARK: Have you all voted on ceasing debate? Record the vote.

CLERK: 6 ayes, 19 nays to cease debate Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Debate does not cease. Senator Lamb is next.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, first of all I am not really sure that all of us know what we are talking about, or what we are discussing here on the floor. I had circulated, you probably have on your desk, this, well it is request number 2293. On top of that is the motion. It explains what is really in this amendment and it is taken from Senator Schmit's amendment which we adopted the other day and which now has been replaced by Senator DeCamp's amendment. But I would like to direct your attention to the front page of that handout, if I might. There are four things on there that are different from the Schmit amendment which you adopted the other day. I should say three. Number one is the distribution and that is the same as under the Schmit amendment. Number two, it is 70 million dollars. Some of the proposals around here have had other amounts 72½ but this certain one has 70 million dollars. This is a debatable point. I would not object to another number in there the first year. Number three, there is no sunset or no termination date. I believe that this is something that... there should not be this termination date. If there are ever 25 votes in this body to change it, that can be done. It is similar to the situation yesterday with LB 40, there is no termination date on that proposal, so I submit that there should not be on this. All references. . . number four, all references to revenue sharing have been eliminated in this amendment. So some other legislators have voiced the fear that under revenue sharing the city sales tax proposal would be eliminated. So I want to assure them that in this proposal that revenue sharing has all been eliminated so there is no reference to deletion of the city sales tax at all. You can. . . your mind can be at ease in that regard. So, this is what the motion does. It is somewhat different from what Senator Schmit had the other day and the reason we are offering it is because although many areas of the state will be losing considerable money under this proposal, they will not be losing as much money as under Senator DeCamp's proposal. That is basically the thing that we are discussing here. That is the reason we present the amendment and I would ask that you adopt it.