CLERK: The next amendment I have is from Senators Newell, Fowler, Vard Johnson and Beutler, Request #2272.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body, I would like to have unanimous consent to just lay that aside just for a very temporary moment.

SENATOR CLARK: We will lay it aside.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have is from Senator DeCamp. It is found on page 1331. I have a note that he wishes to withdraw that one.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, go to the other one.

SENATOR CLARK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp moves to amend the bill. The amendment is found on page 1340 of the Journal, Mr. President. It is offered by Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think I will be extremely brief and I will simply read you what I handed out yesterday. The amendment does the following: It distributes \$70,000,000 to subdivisions of government each year for three years. Distributes the \$70.000,000 on the basis of total valuation of property. It has a termination or sunset date of July 1, 1983. It has a directive to the Revenue Committee of the Nebraska Legislature to prepare a proposal to be submitted by January 1, 1983, to the Legislature and eliminates all previous amendments. Basically the amendment returns to the original bill with these additional things. All property is included under valuation rather than just the 80 or 90% of the property. There is a termination date of July 1, 1983, and I might point out to the body that I have no particular feelings about that one way or the other. The purpose of the termination was to trigger action by the Revenue Committee. I think possibly the directive that is in the legislation alone would do that by causing them to have to come up with a plan to submit to the Legislature by January 1, 1983, and at this point in time I think this super simple solution is the best solution. I urge you to accept it. That is about it. I realize that I think Senator Lamb and Senator Schmit and some others have an alternate formula and that alternate formula is being worked very heavily by some of my good friends in the back and I guess all I can say is, whatever you think is best and right and we will solve this problem and get things going due. I arge you to support this amendment.