

April 8, 1981

LB 40

base. But let's not believe that Omaha's in a dire a shape as Senator Newell would paint for us today.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and Matriarch Labedz, I only have a couple of questions to ask at this point, and if I have any substantial debate, it will occur on the bill itself. I would like to ask...Senator Newell, are you supporting Senator DeCamp's version of the amendment? Oh, I will ask him, here he comes. Senator DeCamp, I can phrase the question and you can answer it when you get there. If the idea of coinciding dates relates to a July date rather than December, why not make the date July 1st, 1983, rather than July 1st, 1984?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I don't know. But somebody explained to me they need the extra year.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if...(interruption).

SENATOR DeCAMP: And I thought that was saleable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if it was to coincide dates in terms of a July rather than a December date, it could as easily be July of '83 as July of '84, couldn't it? If the month is really...(interruption).

SENATOR DeCAMP: That would be an amendment you could offer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, but I am asking based on the information you received.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Based on the information I received, July 1, 1984, seemed reasonable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But July rather than December was really the focal point. Whatever would be done.....

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, for me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ....would be done in July rather than December.

SENATOR DeCAMP: That was kind of the idea, yes, depending upon what the Revenue Committee is going to do and what the Legislature is going to do in the future.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR DeCAMP: But they wanted to have some predictability