dangerous and getting them off the street. If you were at the hearing you would have found that there is a great deal of support as well as opposition to this bill and if you take the time with your constituents to talk to them you will find again there is a great deal of support for the concept of doing something about a minimum standard for our automobiles.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have a minute and a half.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. So, it appears to me that we are rushing a little too fast on this whole matter, that we don't need to pass this bill this session. I don't think we need to totally eliminate a program that has functioned in this state for as long as this has. it is time to review it. It think it is time to improve it but it is not time to eliminate it. I think that as we take more time to look at this situation, as we look at the work that has been done and federally in other states they have truly taken some time on this matter. You will find that there are some ways in which that we can keep our minimum standards that we can have an inspection program that is good for this state and not have to take this step and have nothing whatsoever. The spct checks will not work. I think perhaps whatever other proposals may come up are not going to be clearly thought through and so I would urge your opposition to the bill and support for an interim study on this matter.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fenger, you have an amendment to the bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Fenger moves to amend the bill by adding the emergency clause.

SENATOR FENGER: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, like Senator Wesely, I, too, heard the committee testimony. It is not too often when we hear a bill in committe that three of our fellow members as individuals can testify on their own behalf for or against a given issue. Yet in addition to the bill sponsors three state senators came in and testified in favor of this bill. This is an emotional issue. I am sure the words will get rather choice this afternoon. So far all I have heard of is an unscrupulous service station dealer. I think the point I am trying to get with the emergency clause is strictly this. If this program is useless, if this program is the sham and the ripoff, it is not fair that we tell the people of this state that we are going to abolish it ninety days after the Legislature goes home. If it is an inequity, if it is a hoax on the people of this state, let's tell