April 7, 1981

are naive enough to trade the possible chance of that federal funding for a bunch of votes on issues that are going to cost us a lot of money right here and now. So if there is any implication that there is anything being tied together, I am going to no more meetings. I take Senator Carsten as a man of his word and I know he has no intention of tying those issues together and I certainly back him up a hundred percent on that. So I want to say once more, I am ready to go with the bill now if you want to go. It may be DeCamr's name on the bill but as of now those are my amendments and that is the bill. I am willing to do anything to see to that makes it more equitable, but making it more equitable does not mean transferring two, three, four or five million more dollars to the metropolitan areas. It does from the standpoint of Senator Newell and he has a right to look at it that way because he represents that area and he does so ably but that doesn't make it more equitable from my point of view. Mr. Chairman, Mr. President, I just want to say again. I will take it up or I will delay and talk some more but I want it made clear that there is no way, share or form you can tie those three bills together.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamr.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I will tell you why I want to lay it over, Senator Newell. You stated what you interpreted the agreement that was made on the floor, basically out here in front of God, man, the public and everybody. Now I say your statement is inaccurate, either accidentally or intentionally. I say the transcript will clearly reflect that. I say the Senators understood something different. What we talked about, and I will read you the damned transcript. Even you can understand elementary English. You said you rose to surport the Schmit amendment. You said you rose to surport the Schmit amendment in the transcript. You then asked me after you had talked about the Schmit amendment and coming up with a compromise to be offered on Select File on the formula for distribution for the three years, you said, "Is that, in fact, your understanding, Senator DeCamp?" And Senator DeCamp then said to you, "Senator Newell, we have discussed this at great length. The emphasis on agricultural valuation the first year", which is what his, Schmit's, amendment does, "removes some of the really rainful things that happened to rural counties in the year they had no control over their budgets, going to pure valuation the second year as you are suggesting goes back and gives Omaha and Sarry County and Lincoln more benefit that second year and takes money away, duite frankly, from the rurals but they have at least been able to budget for it, and that third year, the 90-10, I think, functions