

April 6, 1981

LR 486

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, sir.

SENATOR KOCH: Senator DeCamp, are you willing to support other formulas we are going to talk about density?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Pardon.

SENATOR KOCH: Well, we have some other formulas we talk about density occasionally. Now you can interpret density according to population. In this case you are interpreting density according to what?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Okay, what you are talking about...

SENATOR KOCH: Just tell me what density stands for here now?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Density would mean the number of trains over a particular mile of track in a particular time period.

SENATOR KOCH: All right, we are not talking about number of people, are we?

SENATOR DeCAMP; No, no, no. This really (interruption).

SENATOR CLARK: One at a time.

SENATOR KOCH: I just sort of (interruption)...I want to get 284 and talk about formulas, about how it is convenient for us one day to talk about density even though that might be not quite applicable but suddenly when we find that some of our fiefdoms lost some money, we all were here a couple of years ago when we talked about this bill, and I think generally we all voted for it. At that time I knew we were going to have some loss. But I just want the body to know that we should be somewhat uniform when we take these kinds of actions and it will be interesting to see when we get to 284 what kind of formula we will use there, whether we are going to count people as a form of density or we are going to just stay right with property valuation and nothing else. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, once again I rise to suggest to this body that with 486 we are answering a problem that we created ourselves and that we should address that problem without relating to one or more others. It appears to me and I think the majority or our committee, as our committee statement says, that