fifty-five miles an hour. It is a small price that one pays for the incorrect declaration. I think we at least ought to impose on those who incorrectly declared their greenbelt exemptions a penalty which is what we would impose on anybody in this state who turns out to be delirquent in his or her taxes. It is for that reason that I would oppose Senator Warner's amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. Speaker, I have been listening to the debate carefully and everything I hear from Senator Newell and Senator Johnson primarily is that from the perspective of the developers or those who may develop or those who are being developed around them. I just have a question of Senator Warner. I would be less than candid if I said I totally understood this but, Senator Warner, in respect to the taxpayers of the county, and if I happen to own land in Lancaster County that is not near the edge of Lincoln where a greenbelt may not apply, why would it be advantageous to me to grant relief to those people from paying their taxes where this development is occurring? Why would I want to pay that extra burden? Why would it be to the social benefit to the other taxpayers in the county to say that those taxes in and around a development, in and around that apply to the greenbelt laws, why should I give them a preferred delinquent interest rate for not paying their taxes, and we are only talking about land where taxes are not being paid as opposed to me living out near Valparaiso where it doesn't apply and I have to pay fourteen percent but in and around these developments they have to only pay six percent delinquent interest if taxes aren't being paid?

SENATOR WARNER: The benefit, Senator Dworak, is to the county as a whole. You have to take the whole issue into mind, but if you are taxing property at a level substantially higher than what the agricultural production will justify, then you will encourage development, and I can tell you a number of examples when I served on the Planning Commission of Lancaster County where we had requests to change zoning for that very reason because it had been assessed higher. The adverse effect that everybody received in the county was, one, that it changed the need for the roads in an area. It had an impact on schools. I can point the places where development, if it was to continue, where it is not appropriate, where it was not adjacent to the city limits created problems for schools that increased the costs to the rest of the taxpayers. You can find problems of development coming up where it was all agricultural land, where problems came about because of odor, something else in which