

It is \$1.24 for beads-on paint. That is 41¢ or 42¢ for or about 41¢ savings on each plate. We put out six million plates in six years. You don't have to be a mathematician to figure that one out. You can save \$2,400,000 if you issue two plates. No, you just don't have to do it. I realize that this doesn't come from my opposition, this comes from people that are using the figures now. It is their figures that I am using. It is not mine. Nebraska doesn't know what it costs. Nebraska doesn't use it. I know where it is all coming from also. But I just want to refute that cost. We are saving 41¢ a plate and figure it up by six million and you will save \$2,400,000 over the six year period. Thank you.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, I think that it is a little bit of a dichotomy all of a sudden that Senator Chambers says that the conservatives are the ones that are against the one plate. Well I guess that is rather nice, Senator Chambers, I might not be included as a radical for a change because I'm on the opposite side from you on this issue. I don't think that that is being exactly conservative or radical either one. Senator DeCamp also mentions the fact that the safety issue was the big issue to start with. The two million dollars that we are going to save by, perhaps save, by going to beads-on paint. But yet now we are going to have one plate or there is, as the bill is right now, and I had an amendment drafted that I haven't got up there right now but maybe I'll put it up if this fails and that amendment would say that we are going to go to just painting the plates. It seems to me that if we are talking about safety and the issue is safety to start with, if we are talking about safety then what difference does it make if it is reflectorized or not if you are only going to have one plate and the plate is going to be on the back. The laws of the State of Nebraska say that all vehicles have to have reflectorized material on the back of the vehicle anyhow. If you don't have a reflector on the back of your car or your truck or your tail lights aren't working that is illegal right now. Is the intention of the plate, the license plates to make that reflectorized material for safety? I don't think it is. So if we are just going to have one plate on the back it seems to me, and if we are really concerned about dollars, we are talking about all of the dollars that we are going to save, then why not just do away with reflectorized material period. Paint the plates, stick them on the back or better idea yet, and this just occurred to me a little bit ago, a