

April 2, 1981

LB 125

that the woman will be pregnant will not cause the man to show her any compassion. He will knock her around. He will show her no regard whatsoever and yet this might be one of those kind of men who will say, he is opposed to abortion. If being pregnant will not entitle a woman to special consideration that she should be entitled to because of her special condition, how can people pretend that they have so much concern for the so-called unborn? When we have hungry children and programs are to be cut, and the Legislature does not offer resolutions even in opposition to these cuts, I cannot believe there is sincerity in the conversation about concern for the unborn when there is no concern for the born. The Reagan Administration has said it is going to cut a nutrition program aimed specifically, Senator Labeledz, at pregnant women, infants and children. Yet there was no resolution while we are doing all of our posturing on the abortion issue to speak against those cuts. Suppose a pregnant woman cannot adequately provide nutrition for herself or the potential human being that she is carrying. Those are things we ought to be concerned about. But the lack of care for the young throughout this country will indicate that the subject of abortion is being viewed very superficially. It is not showing any concern for the unborn as a potential human being. It is upholding a point of view which people have either gotten from their church or from some fear of political repercussions if they would vote their principles. I have had it said to me lately that people who rate women do so not because of an urge of a sexual nature that needs to be satisfied, but because they hate these women and they want to hurt these women. That is what, it seems to me, is the attitude behind these so-called anti-abortion bills. It shows a basic hatred for women. There are many ways to cloak a very unwholesome attitude in self-righteous trappings so that it appears to be other than what it is. But I am looking also at an article that appeared in the World Herald February 24th. Rita Jenrette, the wife of one of the convicted Abscam Congressmen, mentioned that a female lobbyist has video-taped some sexual encounters she had with a number of Republican Congressmen and Senators, and that she had gotten pregnant by one of them, and this one who had impregnated her had voted for the Hyde amendment, which is to prohibit the use of federal funds for abortions, yet he paid for her abortion. So, we can see the hypocrisy that pervades this entire issue. And men who will never be in a position to understand what it means to carry a pregnancy to term, are nevertheless making these self-righteous and hypocritical pronouncements and judgments relative to the women who have been put in that position

2785